The notion of a separate organism is clearly an abstraction, as is also its boundary. Underlying all this is unbroken wholeness even though our civilization has developed in such a way as to strongly emphasize the separation into parts.¹

—David Bohm and Basil J. Hiley

The Undivided Universe

"I suddenly developed a severe headache in the back of my head," the nurse said tearfully. "It was so painful I could not function and had to leave work. This was strange, because I never have headaches. When I reached home and was lying in bed, the phone rang. I learned that my beloved brother had been killed from a gunshot wound to the back of his head, the same place my terrible headache was located. My headache began at the same time the shooting occurred.

The woman was a prominent nurse leader at a major hospital in northern California. The occasion was a Q & A session following an address I had given to senior staff of the hospital consortium to which her hospital belonged. My topic was the importance of empathy, compassion, and caring in healing and healthcare. I had reviewed empirical evidence suggesting that empathy and compassion are more than the reach of the senses. Distant individuals often share feelings, sensations, and thoughts, particularly if they are emotionally close. These experiences, I explained, are often called *telesomatic events.* Hundreds of such cases have been reported over the years, but have been largely ignored.

This discussion had prompted the nurse to reveal her experience to several hundred of her colleagues in the audience. "Now I have a name for what happened between my brother and me," she said. "Now I can talk about it." Her story riveted the audience. When she finished, she was not the only person in the room in tears.

This woman's story is, of course, "only an anecdote." "Anecdote" comes from the Greek *anekdota,* "things unpublished." Our lives are comprised of anecdotes—stories, happenings, events, and experiences that are all unpublished. Our existence does not unfold as a series of controlled, publishable scientific studies. It is when our experiences form patterns that are shared by others that we should pay attention to the possible messages they may convey.

LEVELS OF CONNECTEDNESS

Experiences such as these are not uncommon. They suggest a unity and connectedness between biological systems that transcend separation in space.

A growing body of evidence supports this invisible connectivity at several levels of biological complexity. This evidence goes beyond the etymology of "anecdote," for it has indeed been published in peer-reviewed journals and is now a part of the scientific record.

DISTANT MENTAL INTERACTIONS WITH LIVING SYSTEMS (DMILS)

Experiments generally known as DMILS—distant mental interactions with living systems involve a wide variety of entities such as whole humans, organs, cells, microbes, plants, and animals. In these studies individuals use their intentions to influence biological functions in humans, the growth rates of bacteria and fungi in test tubes and Petri dishes, the rate of wound healing in mice, the healing of transplanted cancers in mice, the function of cells in tissue cultures, the germination rates of seeds, the growth rates of seedlings; and many other phenomena. Two examples follow.

Gronowicz and colleagues assessed the effect of therapeutic touch (TT) on the proliferation of normal human cells in culture, compared to sham and no-treatment controls. This non-touch technique, which emphasizes healing intentions, was administered twice a week for two weeks. Compared to untreated controls, TT significantly stimulated proliferation of fibroblasts (cells that produce collagen and are important in wound healing), tenocytes (tendon cells), and osteoblasts (bone cells) in culture ($P = .04, .01, and .01$, respectively). These data were obtained by sophisticated techniques such as immunocytochemical staining for proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA). The researchers concluded, "A specific pattern of TT treatment produced a significant increase in proliferation of fibroblasts, osteoblasts, and tenocytes in culture. Therefore, TT may affect normal cells by stimulating cell proliferation."²

In 10 controlled experiments, researcher William Bengston tested the effect of "healing with intent" on laboratory mice. In eight of these experiments, mice were injected with mammary adenocarcinoma (breast cancer) cells. In two experiments, mice with methylcholanthrene-induced
The effect size is small, but statistically significant. These effects seemed to be susceptible to placebo and expectancy effects. Wellbeing relative to control subjects in the active condition exhibited a significant improvement in drug trials, although the two were completely shielded from each other. The researchers concluded, “Our experimental data seem to strongly suggest that biological systems present non-local properties not explainable by classical models.”

In 2007 researcher Ashkan Farhadi and colleagues at Rush University Medical Center in Chicago examined whether cells in separate containers could communicate with each other. They exposed one container of intestinal epithelial “inducer” cells to hydrogen peroxide and assessed the damage done to them. Another batch of “detector” cells was placed in a separate container and was not exposed to hydrogen peroxide. Even though there was no obvious way the two batches of cells could communicate, the detector cells demonstrated damage similar to the inducer cells. “These findings,” the researchers said, “provide evidence in support of a non-chemical, non-electrical communication.”

In 2013 researcher Victor B. Chaban and his colleagues at UCLA School of Medicine, demonstrated “physically disconnected non-diffusable cell-to-cell communication” between neuroblastoma cancer cells and normal neurons, when both are shielded, preventing any known means of communication.

CELL-TO-CELL CONNECTIONS

In 2009, a team of Italian researchers led by neuroscientist Rita Pizzi repeatedly demonstrated that, when one batch of human neurons was stimulated by a laser beam, a distant batch of neurons registered similar changes, although the two were completely shielded from each other. The researchers concluded, “Our experimental data seem to strongly suggest that biological systems present non-local properties not explainable by classical models.”

In 2013 researcher Victor B. Chaban and his colleagues at UCLA School of Medicine, demonstrated “physically disconnected non-diffusable cell-to-cell communication” between neuroblastoma cancer cells and normal neurons, when both are shielded, preventing any known means of communication.

BRAIN-TO-BRAIN CONNECTIONS

In 1965 researchers T.D. Duane and Thomas Behrendt decided to test anecdotal reports that identical twins share feelings and physical sensations even when far apart. In 2 of 15 pairs of twins tested, eye closure in one twin produced not only an immediate alpha rhythm in his own brain, but also in the brain of the other twin, even though he kept his eyes open and sat in a lighted room.

The publication of this study in the prestigious journal Science evoked enormous interest. Totally, 10 attempted replications soon followed, by eight different research groups around the world. Of the 10 studies, eight reported positive findings, published in mainstream journals such as Nature and Behavioral Neuroscience.

In the late 1980s and 1990s, a team headed by psychophysiologist Jacobo Grinberg-Zylberbaum at the University of...
Mexico published experiments that, like most of the previous studies, demonstrated correlations in the electroencephalograms (EEGs) of separated pairs of individuals who had no sensory contact with each other. Two of the studies were published in the prominent journals *Physics Essays and International Journal of Neuroscience*, drawing further attention to this area.

Experiments in this field became increasingly sophisticated. In 2003 Jiri Wackerman, an EEG expert from Germany’s University of Freiberg, attempted to eliminate all possible weaknesses in earlier studies and applied a refined method of analysis. Following his successful experiment he concluded, “We are facing a phenomenon which is neither easy to dismiss as a methodological failure or a technical artifact nor understood as to its nature. No biophysical mechanism is presently known that could be responsible for the observed correlations between EEGs of two separated subjects.”

As fMRI brain-scanning techniques matured, these began to be employed, with intriguing results. Psychologist Leanna Standish at Seattle’s Bastyr University found that when one individual in one room was visually stimulated by a flickering light, there was a significant increase in brain activity in a person in a distant room.

In 2004, three new independent replications were reported, all successful—from Standish’s group at Bastyr University, from the University of Edinburgh, and from researcher Dean Radin and his team at the Institute of Noetic Sciences.

**PERSON-TO-PERSON CONNECTIONS**

Evidence that our thoughts, emotions, and behaviors may influence someone remotely has surfaced in recent analyses of social networks. The precise mechanism of these phenomena is currently unknown. James H. Fowler, a political scientist at the University of California, San Diego, and Nicholas A. Christakis, a physician and social scientist at Harvard Medical School, published a provocative article in 2008 in the *British Medical Journal*, titled “Dynamic Spread of Happiness in a Large Social Network.” Christakis states, “[H]appiness is more contagious than previously thought … Your happiness depends not just on your choices and actions, but also on the choices and actions of people you don’t even know who are one, two and three degrees removed from you. … Emotions have a collective existence—they are not just an individual phenomenon.”

From 1983 to 2003, Fowler and Christakis collected information from 4739 people enrolled in the well-known Framingham Heart Study and from several thousand other individuals with whom they were connected—spouses, relatives, close friends, neighbors, and co-workers. They found, says Fowler, that, “[I]f your friend’s friend becomes happy, that has a bigger impact on you being happy than putting an extra $5,000 in your pocket.” The idea that the emotional state of your friend’s friend could profoundly affect your psyche created a sensation in the popular media. As a *Washington Post* journalist put it, “[E]motion can ripple through clusters of people who may not even know each other.”

It’s not just happiness that gets around. The team also found that depression, sadness, obesity, drinking and smoking habits, ill-health, the inclination to turn out and vote in elections, a taste for certain music or food, a preference for online privacy, and the tendency to think about suicide are also contagious.

Christakis and Fowler published their findings about the spread of obesity in large social networks in the influential *New England Journal of Medicine*. They showed that obesity in people you don’t know and have never heard of could ricochet through you. They attributed the contagiousness of obesity to a “social network phenomenon” without proposing any specific physiological or psychological mechanism. To label something, however, is not to explain it, and to merely call this sort of thing a “social network phenomenon” has all the explanatory value of saying “what happens happens.” In the commentary that accompanied their *NEJM* article, the experts who weighed in took the same tack. They discussed the genetic factors that influence obesity and the connections within and between cells in an individual that may contribute to overweight, but they too were mute about how distant humans might influence one another when they are beyond sensory contact.

Some suggest that the ripples work through the action of mirror neurons, which are brain cells believed to fire both when we perform an action ourselves and when we watch someone else doing it. But when people are remote from each other, there is no one to watch, and therefore no stimulus for the mirror neurons to fire. Others suggest that the spread is through mimicry, as when people unconsciously copy the facial expressions, body language, posture, and speech of those around them. There is a hint of desperation in these attempts to find some sneaky physical factor that mediates changes between distant individuals. But when all is said and done, Fowler and Christakis say they don’t really know how happiness, obesity, etc. spread.

The fact that your friend’s friend’s friend, someone you’ve neither seen nor heard of, is affecting your health has begun to rattle many of the gatekeepers in medicine. This field may be a bomb with a delayed fuse that is getting ready to explode in the very heart of materialistic medicine. A few medical insiders are raising the possibility that something heretofore unthinkable may be going on, such as a nonlocal, collective aspect of consciousness that links distant individuals. Among them is Dr. Robert S. Bobrow, a courageous clinical associate professor in the Department of Family Medicine at New York’s Stony Brook University. In discussing the spread of obesity in his article “Evidence for a Communal Consciousness” in *Explore* in 2011, he says, “Frankly, obesity that develops from social connection, without face-to-face interaction, suggests emotional telepathy.”

If these experiments don’t take your breath away, they should. They suggest that human isolation is a myth, and that human consciousness can manifest in the world beyond the brain. We are linked, united, entangled.

Do these person-to-person connections represent genuinely nonlocal phenomena? Are they on the same order as the cell-to-cell events demonstrated in the experiments of Pizzi,
Farhadi, and Chaban? Currently no one knows for certain, as mentioned, and further research will hopefully clarify these important questions. On balance, however, as Bohm and Hiley state in the epigraph, “The notion of a separate organism is clearly an abstraction, as is also its boundary.”

TELESOMATIC EVENTS

But if you stop clinging to coincidence and try explaining this trumpery affair, you might shatter one kind of world.  

—J.B. Priestley

Man & Time

Almost forgotten amid this flurry of research are hundreds of case reports such as the experience of the nurse above, which suggest a person-to-person form of communication that appears genuinely nonlocal. In them, individuals experience similar sensations or actual physical changes, even though they may be separated by great distances. Berthold E. Schwarz, an American neuropsychiatrist, documented many of these instances. In the 1960s he coined the term telesomatic to describe these events, from Greek words meaning “distant body.” The term is apt, because these events suggest that a shared mind is bridging two bodies. Most cases go unreported, however, because there is no accepted explanatory mechanism for them, and because of the social stigma that can result from discussing them publicly.

These happenings have an interesting pedigree. A typical example was described by the English social critic John Ruskin (1819–1900). It involved Arthur Severn, a famous landscape painter who was married to Ruskin’s cousin Joan. Severn awoke early one morning and went to a nearby lake for a sail, while Joan remained in bed. She was suddenly awakened by the sensation of a severe, painful blow to the mouth, of no apparent cause. Shortly thereafter her husband, Arthur, returned, holding a cloth to his bleeding mouth. He reported that the wind had freshened abruptly and caused the boom to hit him in the mouth, almost knocking him from the boat, at the estimated time his wife felt the blow.  

A similar instance was reported in 2002 by mathematician–statistician Douglas Stokes. When he was teaching at the University of Michigan, one of his students reported that his father was knocked off a bench one day by an “invisible blow to the jaw.” Five minutes later his dad received a call from a local gymnasium where his wife was exercising, informing him that she had broken her jaw on a piece of fitness equipment.

David Lorimer, a shrewd analyst of consciousness and a leader of the Scientific and Medical Network, an international organization based in the U.K., has collected many telesomatic cases in his wise book Whole in One. Lorimer is struck by the fact that these events occur mainly between people who are emotionally close. He makes a strong case for what he calls “empathic resonance,” which he believes links individuals across space and time.

The late psychiatrist Ian Stevenson (1918–2007), of the University of Virginia, investigated scores of instances in which distant individuals experience similar physical symptoms. Most involve parents and children, spouses, siblings, twins, lovers, and very close friends. Again, the common thread is the emotional closeness and empathy experienced by the separated persons.

In a typical example reported by Stevenson, a mother was writing a letter to her daughter, who had recently gone away to college. For no obvious reason her right hand began to burn so severely she had to put down her pen. She received a phone call less than an hour later informing her that her daughter’s right hand had been severely burned by acid in a laboratory accident at the same time that she, the mother, had felt the burning pain.

In a case reported by researcher Louisa E. Rhine, a woman suddenly doubled over, clutching her chest in severe pain, saying, “Something has happened to Nell, she has been hurt.” Two hours later the sheriff arrived to inform her that her daughter Nell had been involved in an auto accident, and that a piece of the steering wheel had penetrated her chest (Table 1).

Table 1. A Brief Taxonomy of Nonlocal Communication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Nonlocal Communication</th>
<th>Manifestation of Nonlocal Communication</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neuron-to-neuron</td>
<td>When one group of human brain neurons are stimulated, simultaneous changes are seen in distant neurons that are shielded from all incoming stimuli.</td>
<td>According to conventional science, nonlocal communication between groups of neurons that are isolated and shielded from each other should not be possible. Yet they behave as a unified, single entity, although far apart. A nonlocal form of connectedness and unity is implied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain-to-brain</td>
<td>When one person’s brain is stimulated, simultaneous changes are registered in a distant brain, as seen on EEG or fMRI brain scan.</td>
<td>These events should not be possible from the perspective of conventional science. A nonlocal form of connectedness and unity is implied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person-to-person</td>
<td>Telepathic communication, remote viewing, telesomatic events, remote healing, social network phenomena.</td>
<td>A nonlocal form of connectedness and unity is implied—oneness not as metaphor but as empirical fact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EEG, electroencephalogram; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging.
TWIN CONNECTIONS
Guy Lyon Playfair, a consciousness researcher in Great Britain, is the author of the important book Twin Telepathy. He has collected a variety of documented telesomatic cases involving twins and non-twin siblings. One case involved the identical twins Ross and Norris McWhirter, who were well known in Britain as co-editors of the Guinness Book of Records. On November 27, 1975, Ross was fatally shot in the head and chest by two gunmen on the doorstep of his north London home. According to an individual who was with his twin brother Norris, Norris reacted in a dramatic way at the time of the shooting, almost as if he had been shot by an invisible bullet.

Skeptics invariably dismiss cases such as these as coincidence, but many are hard to squeeze into this category. An example reported by Playfair concerns four-year-old identical twins Silvia and Marta Landa, who lived in the village of Murillo de Río Leza in northern Spain. The Landa twins became celebrities in 1976 after being featured in the local newspaper following a bizarre event. Marta had burned her hand on a hot clothes iron. As a large red blister was forming, an identical one developed on the hand of Silvia, who was away visiting her grandparents at the time. Silvia was taken to the doctor, unaware of what had happened to her sister Marta. When the two little girls were united, their parents saw that the blisters were the same size and on the same part of the hand.

It wasn’t the first time this sort of thing had happened. If one twin had an accident, the other twin seemed to know about it, even though they were nowhere near each other. Once, when they arrived home in their car, Marta hopped out and ran inside the house, but suddenly complained that she could not move her foot. While this was happening, Silvia had got tangled up with the seat belt and her foot was stuck in it. On another occasion when one of them had misbehaved and was given a smack, the other one, out of sight, immediately burst into tears.

Members of the Madrid office of the Spanish Parapsychological Society got wind of the burned-hand incident, and decided to investigate. Their team of nine psychologists, psychiatrists, and physicians descended on the Landa house, with the full cooperation and approval of the twins’ parents. They had hardly arrived when a typical trade-off incident happened to the little twins. When Marta accidentally banged her head on something, it was her sister Silvia who began to cry. The researchers got to work with a series of tests disguised as fun games for the twins. This meant the little girls had no idea they were involved in an experiment.

While Marta stayed on the ground floor with her mother and some of the researchers, Silvia went with her father and the rest of the team to the second floor. Everything that happened on both floors was filmed and tape-recorded. One of the psychologists played a game with Marta, using a glove puppet. Silvia was given an identical puppet, but no game was played. Downstairs, Marta grabbed the puppet and threw it at the investigator. Upstairs, at the same time, Silvia did the same.

One of the team’s physicians next shined a bright light into Marta’s left eye, as part of a simple physical check-up. When she did this four times, Silvia began to blink rapidly as if trying to avoid a bright light. Then the doctor did a knee-jerk reflex test by tapping her left knee tendon three times. At the same time, Silvia began to jerk her leg so dramatically that her father, unaware the test was going on downstairs on Marta, had to hold it still. Then Marta was given some very aromatic perfume to smell. As she did so, Silvia shook her head and put her hand over her nose. Next, still in different rooms, the twins were given seven colored discs and were asked to arrange them in any order they liked. They arranged them in exactly the same order.

There were other tests as well. The team rated all but one of them as “highly positive” or “positive.”

The Landa tests confirmed what many researchers have found—that children are more prone than adults to this sort of thing, and that results are more likely to be positive when experiments are done not in sterile, impersonal labs, but in the natural habitat of the subjects and in a relaxed, supportive environment. This latter lesson has often been flagrantly ignored in consciousness research by experimenters who should know better. Researchers have had to learn repeatedly the importance of ecological validity—the principle that what is being tested should be allowed to unfold as it does in real life.

Although telesomatic exchanges are by no means limited to twins, they are frequent among them. As Playfair states, in twins we see “the telepathic signal at full volume, as it were, at which not only information is transmitted at a distance but so are emotions, physical sensations and even symptoms such as burns and bruises.” Even so, he has found that only around 30% of identical twins have these experiences, but in those who do the phenomena can be mind-boggling. Emotional closeness is an essential factor in the twin connection. Also, having an extraverted, outgoing personality has been shown to facilitate the link. And, as we see in the above examples, what twins seem to communicate best is bad news—depression, illness, accidents, or death.

ERA III MEDICINE: THE NEXT STEP FOR THE MIND-BODY FIELD
We can take a socio-historical approach in sorting out the panoply of therapies currently available in the health professions. Let’s begin this perspective with the advent of modern, scientific medicine, which medical historians date to around the decade of the 1860s. About this time medicine began gradually to take on the complexion we see today. We can designate this as Era I medicine or physical medicine, because of its overwhelming reliance on physical measures such as drugs and surgical procedures, which continues to this day. In Era I, the mind is assumed to play a nonexistent or negligible role in health and illness (Table 2).

Shortly after World War II, Era II medicine or mind–body medicine began to unfold. This was a radical departure from Era I, because in Era II the various expression of consciousness, such as thought and emotions, were acknowledged as causal factors in health within single individuals. These factors were not trivial; they might sometimes make the difference in life and death. The mind–body perspective did
not negate or displace the physical focus of Era I, however, but overlapped with the drugs-and-surgery emphasis.

We are now seeing the birth of Era III medicine, the next great step in healing. Era III medicine acknowledges the intrapersonal effects of thoughts and emotions of Era II, but recognizes interpersonal effects as well. In other words, in Era II, individuals as well.

The premise underlying Era III is that minds at some level are connected and unitary. I’ve called Era III nonlocal medicine, leaning on the concept of nonlocality in modern physics. According to experimental evidence that is practically unchallenged, distant particles that were originally in contact behave as if they are a single particle, even though they may be widely separated at arbitrary distances. When one changes they both change, instantly and to the same degree.

That’s not to say that the nonlocality of physical particles such as electrons or photons can account for the remote connectedness of minds, or that mental phenomena can be reduced to the behavior of subatomic particles, but that both particles and people display a kind of connectedness that defies separation in space and time. “Nonlocal” is a fitting description not only for particles but for minds as well, because “nonlocal” literally means “not in a place.” Yet we should not equate the two phenomena; we may be dealing with accidental correlations of terminology—analogs, not homologies. Further scientific investigation may clarify this important issue.

The evidence that consciousness is “not in a place” in space and time is overwhelming and is too vast to review here. For over a hundred years this research has accumulated in painstaking experiments numbering in the thousands. I’ve repeatedly explored this evidence in several books including One Mind: How Our Individual Mind Is Part of a Greater Consciousness and Why It Matters and The Power of Premonitions: How Knowing the Future Can Shape Our Lives. For an overview of this field, I also recommend two books by consciousness researchers Dean Radin, The Conscious Universe and Entangled Mind, and Opening to the Infinite by Stephan A. Schwartz.

Table 2. Medical Eras

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Space–Time Characteristic</th>
<th>Era I</th>
<th>Era II</th>
<th>Era III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Synonym</td>
<td>Mechanical, material, or physical medicine</td>
<td>Mind–body medicine</td>
<td>Nonlocal or transpersonal medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Elements of Era I are causal, deterministic, and describable by classical concepts of space-time and matter–energy. Mind is not a factor; “mind” is a result of brain mechanisms.</td>
<td>Mind is a major factor in healing within the single person. Mind has causal power and is thus not fully explainable by classical concepts in physics. Era II includes, but goes beyond, Era I.</td>
<td>Mind is a factor in healing both within and between persons. Mind is not completely localized to points in space (brains or bodies) or time (present moment or single lifetimes). Mind is unbounded and infinite in space and time, thus omnipresent, eternal, and ultimately unitary or one. Healing at a distance is possible. Elements of Era III are not describable by classical concepts of space–time or matter–energy. Era III includes, goes beyond, Era II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples</td>
<td>Any form of therapy focusing solely on the effects of things on the body are Era I approaches, including techniques such as acupuncture and homeopathy, the use of herbs, etc. Almost all forms of “modern” medicine—drugs, surgery, irradiation, CPR, etc.—are included.</td>
<td>Any therapy emphasizing the effects of consciousness solely within the individual body is an Era II approach. Biofeedback, relaxation, self-hypnosis, imagery, visualization, and placebo effects are included in Era II.</td>
<td>Any therapy in which effects of consciousness bridge between different persons is an Era III approach. All forms of distant healing, intercessory prayer, some types of shamanic healing, diagnosis at a distance, telesomatic events, and probably noncontact therapeutic touch are included in Era III.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

NONLOCAL MIND AND HEALTH

Nonlocal expressions of consciousness are frequently concerned with survival and therefore health. When information is shared between humans remotely, it is commonly about...
There is obviously only one alternative, namely the
unification of minds or consciousness .... [I]n truth there is
only one mind."

A similar premise has emerged from the work of researcher
Roger Nelson, of the Princeton Engineering Anomalies
Research (PEAR) lab, and his colleagues. They have examined
the function of scores of random number generators situated
around the globe. These electronic devices normally spit out
patternless, equal numbers of ones and zeroes. But during
moments when the attention of the world is riveted on a
singular event, such as the death of Princess Diana or
September 11, these mechanical devices deviate from their
normally chaotic, random patterns and become more orderly.
Nelson suggests that when the psyche of humans behaves
collectively, it can impart order into situations where there
was none.

WHITHER?

It is easy enough to focus only on experimental findings
that point to fundamental separations between biological entities.
That is what our science has done for centuries, while denying
any "unbroken wholeness" that may exist, as physicists Bohm
and Hiley state in the epigraph.

A recurring rebuttal from the separateness camp is that any
indication of unbroken wholeness is a temporary aberration
based in faulty empiricism at best and fantasy at worst. When
science is complete, this reasoning has it, any "science of
connectedness" will yield to "science as usual"—the view of
separate phenomena interacting through the customary local,
physical forces recognized in contemporary physics and
chemistry. Yet this is a faith-based view, because no one
knows for certain what future developments may reveal.
Science is open-ended and its accounts are never foreclosed.
That is its strength, and that is what separates it from
ideology. Nobel neurophysiologist Sir John Eccles and
philosopher of science Karl Popper have called this ideology
"promissory materialism"—the promise that one day science
will give a complete description of the material basis for the
whole of reality, including consciousness. Eccles: "Promissory
materialism [is] a superstition without a rational foundation.
[It] is simply a religious belief held by dogmatic materialists
... who confuse their religion with their science. It has all the
features of a messianic prophecy."80

If the emerging science of unbroken wholeness and non-
local connectivity are incomplete, what of it? Incompleteness
is a characteristic of the entire canon of science. All of science
comes with a warning: "Until further notice." Uncertainty and
incompleteness are necessary ingredients for better science. As
mathematician and theoretical physicist Henri Poincaré
stated, "Guessing before proving! Need I remind you that it is
[through guessing] that all important discoveries have been
made?"82 In the same spirit, consciousness researcher Ian
Stevenson,83 already mentioned, stated, "I believe it is better
to learn what is probable about important matters than to be
certain about trivial ones."

health risks, such as impending physical dangers, as we've
seen. The quintessential example is a mother who “just
knows” her child is in danger and takes measures to prevent
harm, as in the following example from the archives of the
Rhine Research Center in Durham, North Carolina.

Amanda, a young mother living in Washington State,
awoke one night at 2:30 AM from a nightmare. She dreamed
that a large chandelier that hung above their baby’s bed in
the next room fell into the crib and crushed the infant. In
the dream, as she and her husband stood amid the
wreckage, she saw that a clock on the baby’s dresser read
4:35 AM. The weather in the dream was violent; rain
hammered the window and the wind was blowing a gale.
The dream was so terrifying she roused her husband and
told him about it. He laughed, told her the dream was silly,
and urged her to go back to sleep, which he promptly did.
But the dream was so frightening that Amanda went to the
baby’s room and brought the child back to bed with her.
She noted that the weather was calm, not stormy as in
the dream.

Amanda felt foolish—until around two hours later, when
she and her husband were awakened by a loud crash. They
dashed into the nursery and found the crib demolished by the
chandelier, which had fallen directly into it. Amanda noted
that the clock on the dresser read 4:35 AM and that the
weather had changed. Now there was howling wind and rain.
This time, her husband was not laughing.

Amanda’s dream was a snapshot of the future—down to
the specific event, the precise time it would happen, and a
change in the weather.76

The image of consciousness flowing from this and thou-
sands of similar cases is a nonlocal one, in which some aspect
of consciousness appears unconfined to specific points in
space, such as brains and bodies, or time, such as the present.

Unlike Amanda’s experience, however, the information we
gain nonlocally is often unconscious. The information may
be nonlocal with respect not only to space, but to time as
well, as mentioned. For example, an individual may cancel a
travel reservation because of a vague gut feeling that some-
thing is not right, or that something ominous is going to
happen, not because he actually foresees a speci
c event. This
may be one reason why occupancy rates are statistically lower
on the day of train wrecks compared to non-accident days.77
Nonlocal awareness of dire future events may also account for
why the overall vacancy rate on the four doomed planes on
September 11 was nearly 80%.

From a survival perspective, it may be an advantage for
information that is nonlocally acquired to be unconscious.
Thinking, analyzing, and reasoning take time. In emergencies,
instant reflexive action can save a life.

If minds are nonlocal in space and time, they are
unbounded. This implies that at some level they come
together with other minds and form a collective or universal
mind. Nobel physicist Erwin Schrödinger, whose wave
equation lies at the heart of quantum physics, was interested
in this possibility and believed it to be true. As he put it, "To
divide or multiply consciousness is something meaningless.78
THE GHASTLY SILENCE

For many individuals, the materialistic, intellectual formulations of science are not enough, because they omit too much of the juice of life. This deficiency in a purely scientific approach has long been noted by some of the greatest individuals in the history of science. Among them was Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz (1646–1716), the German philosopher and mathematician. Leibniz, who invented the infinitesimal calculus independently of Isaac Newton, was considered one of the greatest minds of the 18th century. He refined the binary number system, which underlies virtually all digital computers, and invented mechanical calculators that were a marvel for their time. His intellectual reach touched all the major domains of learning of his day. Even so, Leibniz could not find within science the satisfaction he was looking for. In a letter two years before his death, he wrote:

But when I looked for the ultimate reasons for mechanism, and even for the laws of motion, I was greatly surprised to see that they could not be found in mathematics but that I should have to return to metaphysics.84

Three centuries later, Nobel physicist Erwin Schrödinger would come close to the same conclusion:

The scientific picture of the real world around me is very deficient. It gives a lot of factual information, puts all our experience in a magnificently consistent order, but it is ghastly silent about all and sundry that is really near to our heart, that really matters to us. It cannot tell us a word about red and blue, bitter and sweet, physical pain and physical delight; it knows nothing of beautiful and ugly, good or bad, God and eternity. Science sometimes pretends to answer questions in these domains, but the answers are very often so silly that we are not inclined to take them seriously.85

The great Darwin also encountered the effects of the “ghastly silence” Schrödinger spoke of. Late in life he lamented, “My mind seems to have become a machine for grinding general laws out of large collections of facts .... The loss of the emotional tastes is a loss of happiness. It cannot tell us a word about red and blue, bitter and sweet, physical pain and physical delight; it knows nothing of beautiful and ugly, good or bad, God and eternity. Science sometimes pretends to answer questions in these domains, but the answers are very often so silly that we are not inclined to take them seriously.” His solution: “[I]f I had to live my life again, I would have made a rule to read some poetry and listen to some music at least once every week ....”86

Something more is needed—something that can marshal not only an intellectual appreciation of the wholeness implied in biological entanglement and nonlocality, but also something that can quicken the pulse and stir an ethic toward the earth that can counter the unbridled greed, selfishness and plunder that threaten us.

Currently there are excellent exemplars of this awakening, including numerous scientists. But many scientists, it must be said, are reluctant to speak out in favor of wholeness, unity, and oneness because they fear being labeled as having “gone mystic.” It’s as if there are hooded inquisitors lurking within science who are keeping score, and who are continually oiling the rack and heating the pincers, just waiting for a scientist to step out of line.

Fear has never silenced the greatest poets and artists, however. Poets have been yammering away about wholeness for centuries. As author Philip Goldberg87 points out in his important book *American Veda*, there are superb examples among the Romantic poets, particularly William Blake, Percy Bysshe Shelley, William Wordsworth, and Samuel Taylor Coleridge. These poets sensed the interconnectedness and unity that are a feature of an entangled, nonlocal world. Thus Blake, in “Auguries of Innocence”: “To see a world in a grain of sand/And a heaven in a wild flower/Hold infinity in the palm of your hand/And eternity in an hour.”88 Shelley, in “Adonais”: ‘The One remains, the many change and pass ....”89 Wordsworth, in “Tintern Abbey”: “A motion and a spirit, that impels/All thinking things, all objects of all thought,/And rolls through all things.”90 And Coleridge, who wrote of “the translucence of the eternal through and in the temporal.”91

In his book *Opening to the Infinite*, consciousness researcher Stephan A. Schwartz describes how the personal experience of a nonlocal event can carry the emotional wallop of an epiphany. Schwartz, who practically invented the science of remote viewing, has taught thousands of individuals in workshops to have these experiences. He concludes that nonlocal experiences, of which remote viewing is only one example, bestow an “indefinable sense of connection” and a “sense of empowerment” that is so profound it can permanently and radically alter one’s worldview and conduct.92

The felt experience of being nonlocally connected—all tangled up with all there is—may be a way out of the mess created by self-centered, greed-obsessed individuals who have no sense of wholeness and no concern for the integrity of the earth. As Goldberg puts it, when we realize the unitary nature of consciousness: … one’s sense of “I” and “we” opens out from the narrow identification with family, tribe, race, political affiliation, religion, and so on, to encompass a broader swath of humanity. With that comes a corresponding expansion of the moral compass. This not a fanciful imagining of “we are the world” harmony but a living experience of unity with other humans, with nature, and ultimately with the cosmos.93

Straight-laced, paid-up scientists often deny the empirical findings pointing to an “unbroken wholeness” and unity between biological systems and humans, fearing the contamination of modern science by “the occult,” one of their favorite epithets for nonlocal human experiences. But science desperately needs contamination by several factors that are missing from its equations, if we are to survive in any meaningful way. Some sort of connectivity is required for a moral center, an earth ethic, a sense of responsibility for all of life. The absence of these qualities has led to an abyss that is becoming impossible to ignore. A one-sided science is not only incomplete, it can be deadly. As Dr. Samuel Johnson put it nearly three centuries ago, “Integrity without knowledge is weak and useless, and knowledge without integrity is dangerous and dreadful.”94
Dr. Johnson also observed, “When a man knows he is to be hanged in a fortnight, it concentrates his mind wonderfully.”

Perhaps our sense of impending global disasters—I won’t enumerate them—is concentrating our collective mind as a species, resulting in the return of ancient wisdom in the form of modern scientific insights, of which biological entanglement and nonlocality are an urgent example.

What we commonly call empathy, compassion, and love may be human entanglement banging on the doors of consciousness to gain entry. Albert Schweitzer, the legendary physician, missionary, priest, philanthropist, theologian, pacifist, musicologist, and winner of the 1952 Nobel Peace Prize, is an example of someone who opened those doors, and in so doing made the world a better place. In a kind of manifesto of wholeness, he wrote

> What we call love is in its essence Reverence for Life. .... Profound love demands a deep conception and out of this develops reverence for the mystery of life. It brings us close to all beings. To the poorest and smallest, as well as all others .... [T]he idea of Reverence for Life gives us something more profound and mightier than the idea of humanism. It includes all living beings.

At this stage of humankind’s existence, perhaps the best we can wish for one another is not that we achieve success, clarity of purpose, or even happiness in life, but that we each simply realize that we’re intimately united with each other and everything, and that we find the courage to allow this realization to make a difference in how we live our life. On this recognition our future may depend.
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